









初から、原告とB社の間には黙示の雇用契約が成立しており、現時点においても継続している」と判断し、派遣先（使用者事業主）に派遣労働者の雇用責任を認めた。

## 6-2. 有期契約をめぐる争点

### 6-2-1. 反覆更新拒否をめぐる裁判例

有期契約については、「連鎖契約」の法理を踏まえた、東芝柳町工場事件・最高裁判決が大きな影響力を維持している。つまり、有期契約であっても、反覆更新されたときには、「期間を定めない労働契約」と実質的に同様に考えて、解雇法理を適用するという考え方である。その後の類似の事例（三洋電機事件定勤社員整理解雇事件等の）で同様な考え方が維持されている。

○三洋電機定勤社員事件・大阪地裁1991年10月20日判決（労働判例595号9頁）

円高不況で定勤社員（パート、一年更新）全員が雇入れめされた事案について、(a) 期間の定めがない契約に転化あるいは異なる状態で存在したともいえないが、(b) 解雇法理が類推され、「経営内容の悪化により操業停止に追いやられる」ような特段の事情がある場合に限り雇止めできるとし、(c) 定勤社員を最初に人員整理の対象とすることも不合理とはいえないとしつつ、定勤社員といえども雇止めについては人員整理の方法程度につき慎重な考慮すべきであり、雇止めを回避すべき相当の努力を尽くすべきであるとした。そして(d) 定勤社員内で希望退職募集や個別事情考慮などを雇止めを最少にする努力をせず全員の雇止めをしたことは、十分な回避努力を書いて合理的理由がない、と雇止めを無効とした。

また、判例の中には期間を定めた契約の第1回目の更新についても、雇用継続についての、合理的期待を重視し、更新の実績や正規労働者への登用の実態などから、労働者が「雇用の継続に合理的期待」を持っている場合には更新を拒絶することが相当と認められるような特段の事情がない限り更新拒否は信義則違反とするものがある（龍神タクシー事件・大阪地方裁判所1991年1月16日（労働判例581号36頁））。

さらに、1年契約の非常勤務講師の事件で1年の契約期間は自主的には使用期間であるとして更新拒否を認めなかった例がある（神戸広陵学園事件・最高裁判所第3小法廷判決1990年6月5日（労働判例564号7頁））。

ただ、大きな問題として解決されていないのは、「非正規職公務員」の場合であり、反覆更新を繰り返しても公務員法の特任性に基づいて、派遣先（使用事業主）に任用されることがないとされている。

### 6-2-2. 有期労働契約の立法的拡大

その後の大きな変化は、労働基準法改定による有期労働契約の範囲の拡大である。

労働基準法14条1項は、有期労働契約（期間の定めのある労働契約）の契約期間の上限を原則1年としていたが、1998年改定で①新商品、新技術の開発等のための業務、②新規事業への展開を図るためのプロジェクト業務に必要とされる高度の専門的な知識、技術又は経験を有する者が不足している事業場において、当該業務に新たに就かせるために締結する労働契約、③満60歳以上の労働者との間に締結される労働契約について、契約期間の上限を3年とする特例が導入された。

さらに、2003年改定で契約期間上限の原則を従来の1年から3年に改めた。1998年改定で3年上限が認められた特例の場合のうち、①専門的な知識、技術又は経験であって高度のものとして厚生労働大臣が定める基準に該当する専門的知識等を有する労働者との間に締結される労働契約、②満60歳以上の労働者との間に締結される労働契約については、契約期間の上限を5年に延長することとした。

反覆更新については、明確な条文化はされず、厚生労働省から指針が出されたに過ぎない。

### 6-3. 労働法上「労働者」概念についての判断基準の変化

労働者性については、従来から、外務員（証券外務員・集金人）、芸能員・スポーツ選手、嘱託などで争われてきたが、最近では、さらに委託販売員・集金人、情報処理業務関連の個人請負、備車契約運転手、フリーの記者・カメラマン、在宅勤務者、研修医、登録型ホームヘルパー、有償ボランティア、僧侶、従業員兼務取締役など、多様な類型で問題になっている。

これらは、「偽装雇用」とも呼ばれており、その狙いは、集团的労働関係を嫌って労働者を個別分断化しようとする「労働関係の個別化」や、労働法・社会保険法の潜脱、税の軽減等々の、使用者側の脱法的意図によると考えられる。

1985年に出された「労働基準法研究会報告」は、労働基準法上の労働者性については、「使用従属性」を中心に判断するとし、その判断要素として、①仕事の依頼、業務遂行の指示等に対する諾否の自由の有無、②業務遂行上の指揮監督の有無、③勤務場所、勤務時間に関する拘束性の有無、④労務提供の代替性の有無、⑤報酬の労働対償性をあげている。さらに判断の補強要素として、⑥事業者性の有無（機械・器具の負担関係、報酬の額、業務遂行上の損害に対する責任など）、⑦専属性の程度などを挙げている。

労働行政と労働判例は、それぞれの事例の特殊性に応じた実態判断を重視しており、消極的な判断を示す例もあるが、逆に、労働者性を積極的に認める例も少なくなく、全体として大きな変化はないと言える。

○関西医大事件・最高裁判所第2小法廷2005年6月3日判決

関西医科大学附属病院（大阪府守口市）で研修医が過労死した事件で、研修医が労働者に当たるかが争われた。判決は、「病院の指揮監督下で医療行為に従事する場合は、研修医は労働基準法上の労働者に当たる」「臨床研修の教育的な側面を認める一方、医療行為に従事す









## Irregular Workers' Past and Future in Asia

*Apo Leong*  
*/ Asia Monitor Resource Center*

*You shall not abuse a needy and destitute labourer, whether a fellow countryman or a stranger in one of the communities of your land.*

- Deuteronomy 24:14

### **Introduction**

Gone are the days when hundreds of Hong Kong workers gathered early in the morning outside the main gate of Taikoo Dockyard waiting for their daily calls for manual labour. These daily labourers together with their more fortunate buddies who worked as regular workers were all kicked out by the management when the precious dockyard land was transformed into a middle class estate in the 80s. The major difference is that these daily labourers did not get any compensation when the unpredictable redundancy was made, whereas after long and hard struggle the regular Taikoo Dockyard workers got more favourable compensation. The lucky and unlucky Taikoo workers all became irregular workers in other sectors as the golden days of ship repair industry was gone.

The sad thing is, the same story is happening more often today, and will last in the foreseeable future. The labour law regime is more and more deregulated in favour of the capital, but not the working people which it is supposed to serve.

### **Definition**

There is no clear cut or commonly accepted definition of irregular work. The usual dichotomy between 'regular' and 'irregular', 'permanent' and 'temporary', 'formal' and 'informal', 'core' or 'peripheral' workers are often used. The former group of workers used to be protected by the labour law, used to have an indefinite contract of service, better pay, benefits and working conditions than the latter group.

Irregular workers are also called under different names or used under various forms, such as 'flexi', 'atypical', 'outsourced', 'agency', 'self employed', 'dispatched', 'casual', 'home based', 'subcontract', 'freetors', 'seasonal', 'part time', or 'contingent' workers. You name it, they have it.

### **Trends and development**

Irregular work is nothing new in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, but its number is growing phenomenally. For example, after the 1997 financial crisis in our region, the capital has found ways to reassert its power over workers. They try to get around direct employment relationships, and through aggressive anti-worker restructuring of the workplace and privatization, they make greater use of so-called atypical employment, including dispatched workers. The present day Hong Kong government has successfully outsourced most of its cleansing and security work to profit making private





[Workshop 1]

**Rights for Migrant  
Workers**

\*Sponsored by the ILO and the KCTU

## Migration Law and Practice in Asia and the Pacific in the Context of International and ILO Instruments

*Tim De Meyer*

*/ Specialist on International Labour Standards and Labour Law*

1. From the outset, the mandate of the International Labour Organization to promote social justice and decent work has explicitly encompassed the protection of a category of workers particularly vulnerable to exploitation : migrant workers. Article 427 of the Treaty of Versailles, which laid the basis for the ILO in 1919, already saw the need for migrant workers to be protected by law. The Preamble to the **Constitution of the ILO** lays down the obligation for the ILO to improve "protection of the interests of workers when employed in countries other than their own". One of the nine "methods and principles" considered "of special and urgent importance" at the time read :

"The standard set by law in each country with respect to the conditions of labour should have due regard to the equitable economic treatment of all workers lawfully resident therein."

The Declaration concerning the aims and purposes of the International Labour Organization, or the Declaration of Philadelphia, adopted in 1944 and incorporated into the ILO Constitution, also makes specific reference to the problems of migrant workers in Paragraph III (c) :

"The Conference recognises the solemn obligation of the International Labour Organization to further among the nations of the world programmes which will achieve :

- ...
- (b) the employment of workers in the occupations in which they can have the satisfaction of giving the fullest measure of their skill and attainments and make their greatest contribution to the common well-being;
- (c) the provision, as a means to the attainment of this end and under adequate guarantees for all concerned, of facilities for training and the transfer of labour, including migration for employment and settlement;"

In 1998, the International Labour Conference adopted the Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and its Follow-up to reaffirm that four principles are fundamental to ensuring that the economic growth fuelled by an integrating global economy translates into social progress :

- (a) freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining;
- (b) the elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory labour;

- (c) the effective abolition of child labour; and  
 (d) the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation.

Once again, the Preamble to this Declaration called on the ILO to

"give special attention to the problems of persons with special social needs, particularly the unemployed and migrant workers, and mobilize and encourage international, regional and national efforts aimed at resolving their problems, and promote effective policies aimed at job creation."

2. **Setting and supervising the application of international labour standards** is one of the main means of action of the Organization to carry out its mandate. To date, the ILO has adopted 185 Conventions and 195 Recommendations in total. With the exception of the instruments relating to migrant workers and other special categories of workers, the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the International Labour Conference are of general application, i.e. they cover *all* workers, irrespective of nationality, even though since the Organization's inception there has been an awareness of the need to adopt instruments providing specific protection for migrant workers. Therefore, although they do not specifically cover migrant workers, the following instruments either contain provisions relating to them, or the Committee of Experts (*see* below) has on occasion referred to the specific situation of migrant workers in supervising their application:

The Minimum Wage-Fixing Machinery Convention, 1928 (No. 26); the Labour Inspection Convention, 1947 (No. 81); the Employment Service Convention, 1948 (No. 88); the Maternity Protection Convention (Revised), 1952 (No. 103); the Indigenous and Tribal Populations Convention, 1957 (No. 107); the Workers' Housing Recommendation, 1961 (No. 115); the Employment Policy Convention, 1964 (No. 122); the Human Resources Development Recommendation, 1975 (No. 150); the Occupational Safety and Health Recommendation, 1981 (No. 164); the Termination of Employment Convention, 1982 (No. 158); the Employment Policy (Supplementary Provisions) Recommendation, 1984 (No. 169); the Employment Promotion and Protection against Unemployment Convention, 1988 (No. 168); the Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention, 1989 (No. 169); and the Private Employment Agencies Convention (No. 181) and Recommendation (No. 188), 1977.

This list is by no means exhaustive. Mention should also be made of the numerous observations formulated by the Committee of Experts during its supervision of the application of the maritime Conventions.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ILO, *International Labour Standards - A Global Approach (Preliminary Version)*, Geneva, 2002, 123 - 124.  
300

3. **Eight international labour Conventions elaborate on the fundamental principles and rights enunciated in the Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work** (*see* above) and its Follow-up:

The Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29);  
 The Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87)  
 The Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98)  
 The Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951 (No. 100)  
 The Abolition of Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105)  
 The Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention (No. 111)  
 The Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No. 138);  
 The Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182).

Each of these Conventions is amongst the most widely ratified instruments in the world (ratification charts for the Asia Pacific region will be distributed separately). They articulate the fundamental rights of *all* workers, therefore including migrant workers. This is even true for Convention No. 111. Although nationality is not one of the grounds of discrimination formally prohibited by Convention No. 111 (i.e. that the Convention does not formally prohibit a State to deny a person, for example, access to its labour market because he or she has a different nationality), migrant workers are protected by this instrument in so far as they are victims of discrimination in employment or occupation on the basis of one or other of the grounds of discrimination formally prohibited by Convention No. 111, namely race, colour, sex, religion, political opinion, national extraction or social origin.

The fundamental character of these rights entail, in addition, that a State which has not ratified any of the Conventions concerned, is not entirely free from any obligation: as an ILO member State, it remains bound by the ILO Constitution to realize in good faith the principles underlying the Conventions concerned.

4. Between 1995 and 2002, the Governing Body of the International Labour Office **reviewed all ILO Conventions and Recommendations** on an instrument-by-instrument basis to establish which ones were still capable of providing the impetus to further the ILO's mandate and values in today's world and which ones would have to be revised, or, ultimately, done away with altogether. The table in Annex I summarizes the conclusions of the Governing Body with respect to the instruments providing specific protection for migrant workers.

In fact, the International Labour Conference had a dual objective in

adopting instruments on migrant workers : in the first place, the intention was to *regulate* the conditions of migration and, secondly, to provide specific *protection* for a very vulnerable category of workers. In this regard, the ILO's standards have focused on two main directions:

- first, the Conference has endeavoured to establish the right to equality of treatment between nationals and non-nationals in the field of social security, and at the same time to institute an international system for the maintenance of acquired rights and rights in the course of acquisition for workers who transfer their residence from one country to another;<sup>2</sup>

- secondly, the Conference has endeavoured to find comprehensive solutions to the problems facing migrant workers and has adopted a number of instruments for this purpose (including those containing only a few provisions relating to migrant workers).

5. In sum, two **main ILO Conventions and Recommendations** provide specific protection to migrant workers :

<sup>2</sup> Four Conventions and two Recommendations have been adopted for this purpose: the Equality of Treatment (Accident Compensation) Convention (No. 19) and Recommendation No. 25, 1925; the Maintenance of Migrants' Pension Rights Convention, 1935 (No. 48); the Equality of Treatment (Social Security) Convention, 1962 (No. 118); and the Maintenance of Social Security Rights Convention (No. 157) and Recommendation No. 167, adopted respectively in 1982 and 1983.

- the Migration for Employment Convention (Revised) (No. 97) and Recommendation (Revised) (No. 86), 1949
- the Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (No. 143), and the Migrant Workers Recommendation, 1975 (No. 151).

5.1. The instruments deal with migrant workers. A migrant worker is a "person who migrates from one country to another with a view to being employed otherwise than on his own account" or in other words, a person who is a non-national, i.e. holds a different citizenship than that of the country where he or she works. Workers who are nationals, i.e. hold the same citizenship as that of the country where he or she works, are protected against discrimination under the terms of the Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111), even if they or their ancestors are of a different national or ethnic origin ("national extraction").

5.2. The purpose of these instruments is :

- to regulate the conditions in which the migration process takes place ;
- to provide specific protection for a very vulnerable category of workers.

5.3. The purpose of these instruments is *not* to guide decisions on whether a particular labour migration policy is warranted by local economic or social considerations. The decision as to whether the country experiences a labour shortage which needs to be filled by importing a foreign workforce, or a labour surplus which may usefully be drained by exporting part of the domestic workforce is based on various economic and social considerations, which are part of a broader "employment policy".<sup>3</sup> A key principle of the ILO is that employment policy (i.e. including migration for employment) should be designed with the fullest involvement of employers' and workers' organizations "with a view to taking fully into account their experience and views and securing their full co-operation in formulating and enlisting support for such policies" (Article 3 of the Employment Policy Convention, 1964 (No. 122)).

Decisions in this respect may be guided by Chapter X of the Employment Policy (Supplementary Provisions) Recommendation, 1985 (No. 169), which calls on member States:

(a) to create more employment opportunities and better conditions of

<sup>3</sup> See W.R.Böhning, *Employing Foreign Workers - A Manual on Policies and Procedures of Special Interest to Middle- and Low-Income Countries*, ILO, Geneva, 1996.



Bangladesh has signed the Convention. The UN Convention entered into force on 1 July 2003.<sup>4</sup>

- 5.8. In 1997, the International Labour Conference adopted the Private Employment Agencies Convention, (No. 181) and Recommendation (No. 188). Convention No. 181 revised the Fee-Charging Employment Agencies Convention (Revised), 1949 (No. 96) which allowed ratifying States to choose between abolishing private employment agencies or regulating them as complementary to public placement agencies. In principle, C. 181 applies to all categories of workers (except seafarers), i.e. including migrant workers. The new instruments see a more positive role for private placement agencies :

“These instruments take the position that private employment agencies can make a substantial contribution to efficiency in the labour market and should be allowed to play a complementary role alongside the public service. Private agencies should complement rather than replace public employment services. Such a dual system has the potential to maximize efficiency in labour matching because, while there may be some overlap of the services provided, the key contribution of private services is distinct from that of public services. Private services are able to concentrate their resources in niche areas. Such specialized experience provides them with more detailed information, which they can use to anticipate job growth. Public services, which have a much broader mandate and face greater budgetary constraints, are not in a position to acquire specialized information on particular sectors of the labour market. The public service remains responsible for gathering data on general trends in the labour market and providing for more vulnerable jobseekers, such as retrenched workers, while private agencies are left to concentrate on niche services and to monitor certain labour market trends.”<sup>5</sup>

According to Article 7 (1), private employment agencies shall not charge directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, any fees or costs to workers. Article 7 (2) provides for flexibility, however : “In the interest of the workers concerned, and after consulting the most representative organizations of employers and workers, the competent authority may authorize exceptions to the provisions of paragraph 1 above in respect of certain categories of workers, as well as specified types of services provided by private employment

<sup>4</sup> For a comparison between the ILO Conventions and the UN Convention and the difficulties surrounding the ratification of the UN Convention, see UN ECOSOC, *Issue Relating to Migrants - Addendum to “The Rights of Non-Citizens”, Working Paper submitted by Mr. David Weissbrodt in accordance with Sub-Commission decision 1998/13, doc. no. E/CN.4/Sub.2/1999/7/Add.1*, and the academic references.

<sup>5</sup> ILO, *International Labour Standards - A Global Approach (Preliminary Version)*, Geneva, International Labour Organization, 2001, 211

agencies.”

Similarly, Article 7 (2) of C. 97 provides that “the services rendered by its *public* employment service to migrants for employment are rendered free”.

6. The ILO operates a rigorous system of **supervising the application of Conventions and Recommendations**. The lynchpins of the supervisory system are the :

Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations

The *Committee of Experts* has a membership of 20 legal experts chosen on the grounds of their independent standing, complete impartiality and technical competence. Most prominently, the Committee of Experts examines periodic reports from member States on the effect given to Conventions they have ratified. The regular periodicity is every two years for 12 priority Conventions,<sup>6</sup> and every five years for all other Conventions (including, for example, C. 97 and C. 143).

<sup>6</sup> The so-called *priority* Conventions include the eight fundamental Conventions and four other Conventions : Labour Inspection Convention (No. 81), 1947 ; Employment Policy Convention (No. 122), 1964 ; Labour Inspection (Agriculture) Convention (No. 129), 1969 ; Tripartite Consultation (International Labour Standards) Convention (No. 144), 1976.







In preparation for the General Discussion, the Office is now developing a comprehensive report on the trends in migration and the conditions of men and women *migrant workers*, the state of law and practice regarding their treatment, the impact of migration on origin and host countries, and the experience with structures and policies established at national, regional and international levels for regulating migration and the employment of *migrant workers*. The report is drawn up on the basis of a survey. The survey is basically aimed at obtaining the latest information on how migration and the treatment of *migrant workers* are being regulated or managed through laws, policies, administrative measures, specialized bodies of the state, what role is played by bilateral and multilateral treaties and conventions, and how the tripartite partners take part in the process. The General Discussion is aimed at developing a plan of action for ILO activities in this area.

The considerations underlying the decision to put the question of migrant workers on the Conference agenda were summarized as follows :

International labour migration has today become a more complex and diverse phenomenon, involving anywhere from 60 to 65 million people and many more countries than ever before. Much of contemporary migration is organized by private intermediaries, not by states, and there are growing problems with irregular migration, illegal employment and exploitation. The large majority of today's migrant workers are admitted only for temporary periods, which in many instances make them subject to unequal treatment. Unemployment levels among settled immigrants are often much higher than those of native workers, and problems with discrimination and social exclusion are of serious concern even in advanced democratic societies. In response to the low and declining rate of ratification of ILO's existing standards on this subject, the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations was requested by the Governing Body at its 267th Session to undertake a General Survey on the state of law and practice. The Committee of Experts clearly saw the need for a general discussion on the subject of migrant workers at a future session of the International Labour Conference, with a view to reviewing and possibly revising the instruments. This view was widely endorsed by members of the Governing Body at its previous two sessions, most recently with many urging an integrated approach to a general discussion that would allow consideration of a range of approaches, solutions, and instruments. To cover these issues the general discussion might be organized along three main themes: (1) international labour migration in the era of globalization; (2) policies and structures for more orderly migration for

employment; and (3) improving migrant workers' protection.<sup>11</sup>

An excerpt containing the conclusions of the Committee of Experts with respect to its *General Survey* of the application of C. 97 and C. 143 in law and practice is attached as Annex 3.

8. *Issue related to migrant workers' rights.* Previous paragraphs highlighted rights-related issues of concern to migrant workers which are already officially addressed through the ILO supervisory system. The following paragraphs by no means aim to exhaustively review all potentially relevant human rights issues, let alone migration policies in their entirety.<sup>12</sup> However, a number of critical issues in Asian Pacific countries (particularly East Asia) merit attention at this juncture.

*(1) Coverage by labour legislation.*

Migrant workers are frequently excluded from the scope of labour legislation governing matters such as employment contracts, minimum wages, and conditions of work. The exclusion can be direct (e.g. Section 3 (3) (d) of Decree-Law No.24/89/M of Macau SAR (1989) specifically excludes migrant workers from the protection of the labour law), but so can be the inclusion (e.g. the Rules for the Employment of Foreigners in China (1996) limit employment contracts with foreign workers to 5 years, but provide that wage, minimum wage, labour disputes and working conditions of foreign employees are governed by local Chinese law). Migrant workers may be *de facto* excluded (e.g. according to the Free Trade Union of Burma, Thai officials have stated that the minimum wage legislation does not apply to migrant workers). Frequently, migrant workers are indirectly excluded, when the legislation excludes an occupation in which migrant workers make up a majority of the workforce. In the Republic of Korea, for example, domestic workers are expressly excluded from the Labour Standards Act of the Republic of Korea. In Japan, workers entering on a six-month's entertainers' visa are not considered "workers" in the sense of the Labour Standards Act. In Singapore domestic workers are in principle not considered employees for

<sup>11</sup> ILO Governing Body Doc. No. GB.283/2/1 283rd Session, Geneva, March 2002, 27.

<sup>12</sup> There are, however, publication which do precisely that, e.g. Asian Migrant Centre and Mekong Migration Network, *Migration - Needs, Issues and Responses in the Greater Mekong Subregion - A Resource Book*, Hong Kong, 2002





following her return to work to be prescribed by national laws or regulations, except on grounds unrelated to the pregnancy or birth of the child and its consequences or nursing. The burden of proving that the reasons for dismissal are unrelated to pregnancy or childbirth and its consequences or nursing shall rest on the employer.

2. A woman is guaranteed the right to return to the same position or an equivalent position paid at the same rate at the end of her maternity leave.

#### Article 9

1. Each Member shall adopt appropriate measures to ensure that maternity does not constitute a source of discrimination in employment, including - notwithstanding Article 2, paragraph 1 - access to employment.

2. Measures referred to in the preceding paragraph shall include a prohibition from requiring a test for pregnancy or a certificate of such a test when a woman is applying for employment, except where required by national laws or regulations in respect of work that is:

(a) prohibited or restricted for pregnant or nursing women under national laws or regulations; or

(b) where there is a recognized or significant risk to the health of the woman and child.

Pregnancy testing, inasmuch as it imposes a condition on women workers in the sphere of employment and occupation which it does not impose upon male workers, and inasmuch as it does not relate to an inherent requirement of the job, constitutes discrimination on the basis of sex in the sense of ILO Convention No. 111.

#### *(4) Pregnancy Testing*

Some countries in Asia Pacific subject the job opportunities and job security of migrant women workers to pregnancy testing. In Malaysia and Singapore, for example, foreign domestic workers must undergo a pregnancy test every few months, and in Singapore, they will lose their employment and be expelled if the test is positive. Compromising women's job opportunity/security in this way is highly discriminatory, and it puts family responsibilities and the responsibility for reproduction squarely on the shoulders of women, instead of society as a whole.

#### *(5) Job Security and Residency Status*

Restrictions on the free choice of employment of regular migrant workers, and the often related risk of losing residence status as a direct result of loss of employment constitute important reasons why regular migrant workers remain in exploitative work situations.<sup>16</sup> For this reason, ILO instruments contain several provisions relating to the extent to which migrants who lose their employment should be permitted to continue to reside in the host country, and the rights which should be granted to them during this time. The 1999 General Survey on C. 97 and C. 143 summarizes the principles as follows :

577. Article 8 of Convention No. 143, states that "(1) On condition that he has resided legally in the territory for the purposes of employment, the migrant worker shall not be regarded as in an illegal or irregular situation by the mere fact of the loss of his employment, which shall not in itself imply the withdrawal of his authorization of residence or, as the case may be, work permit".

578. Paragraph 30 of Recommendation No. 151 states that regularly admitted migrants ought not be expelled on the grounds of their lack of means or the state of the employment market and the loss of employment should not, in itself, imply the withdrawal of residency permission. Paragraph 31 of the same Recommendation stipulates that migrants who lose their employment should be allowed "sufficient time to find alternative employment, at least for a period corresponding to that during which he may be entitled to unemployment benefit; the authorization of residence should be extended accordingly". Paragraph 18(1) of Recommendation No. 86 discourages States from removing regularly admitted migrant workers from their territory on account of their lack of means or the state of the employment market.

Asia Pacific provides various examples of States which restrict free choice of employment, or where loss of employment implies withdrawal of authorization of residence or work permit. In Hong Kong SAR, for example, foreign domestic helpers have two weeks to find a new employer or leave the country, once their original

<sup>16</sup> Ryszard Cholewinski, *International Human Rights Standards and the Protection of Migrant Workers in the Asia Pacific Region*, <http://www.december18.net/paper1standards.htm>





*Republic of Korea.* In Korea, for example, under the Industrial Training System (ITS) introduced in 1993, most legal foreign workers in Korea were trainees in Korea for up to three years and thus not covered by Korea's wage and other labor laws. As a result, the "trainees" simply constituted a cheap workforce for small and medium-size undertakings. In 2002, an amendment to the Immigration Control Act entered into force with a double effect : (1) after a successful one-year training, "trainees" can be employed for two years on the basis of an employment contract benefiting from the protection of e.g. the Labour Standards Act ; (2) during the one-year training, labour legislation will not apply, but the system contains guarantees with respect to training allowances worth more than the minimum wage, injuries and disease compensation, and accomodation and meals. Migrant workers entering the country on an entertainers' visa (six months), however, are not protected by the Labour Standards Act, and unlike other categories of migrant workers, are subject to an HIV test.

*Mongolia.* In 2001, Mongolia adopted a Law on Sending Labour Force Abroad and Receiving Labour Force and Specialists from Abroad, largely confining its regulatory scope to the activities of private agencies.

*Philippines.* In 1995, the Philippines adopted the Migrant Workers and Overseas Filipinos Act (R.A. 8042) outlining a declaration of policy, establishing conditions for recruitment, and mandating public services such as an emergency repatriation fund, a loan guarantee fund, a resource center and legal assistance overseas. Bills to amend parts of the Act are pending with Congress.

*Sri Lanka.* Sri Lanka's major policy initiatives to protect Sri Lankan workers going abroad go back to the eighties. They are legally anchored in the Sri Lanka Bureau of Foreign Employment (SLBFE) Act no 21 of 1985 amended by Act No 4 of 1994. The key elements include :

- The registration of all migrant workers at the SLBFE and the licensing of recruitment agencies in terms of the SLBFE Act.
- The formulation and implementation of a model contract of employment which ensures fair wages and standards of employment. In terms of a series of Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) signed between the SLBFE and recruitment agents in the Middle East, Singapore and Hong Kong, it has become compulsory for employers

wishing to hire Sri Lankan housemaids to sign a contract which must be endorsed by the Sri Lankan Embassy before a housemaid may leave the country.

- The SLBFE stipulates a monthly minimum wage of an amount between US\$100-150 for unskilled workers, with a minimum of US Dollars 130 for a domestic worker.
- To overcome the exploitative practices of private money lenders, State banks and financial institutions have initiated schemes to grant credit. The Sri Lanka Export Credit Insurance Corporation (SLECIC), a statutory body of the Ministry of Trade and the Sri Lankan Government. (See Malsiri Dias and Ramani Jayasundere, *Sri Lanka: Good Practices To Prevent Women Migrant Workers From Going Into Exploitative Forms Of Labour*, GENPROM Working Paper No. 9, ILO, Geneva, 2002)

*Viet Nam.* In 1999, Viet Nam amended legislation adopted in 1995 to strengthen the protection of temporary Vietnamese migrant workers abroad. Decree No. 152/1999/ND-CP<sup>24</sup> regulates the licensing labour export agencies and for registering contracts, provides for the rights and obligations of Vietnamese labourers working abroad, as well as of enterprises that send Vietnamese labourers abroad, and it lays down the responsibilities of the ministries involved.

Annex 5 contains labour legislation guidelines for the protection of migrant workers.

---

<sup>24</sup> Complemented by a Circular : *Guidelines on the implementation of Decree no. 152/1999/ND-CP on the export of Vietnamese workers and specialists*



forms of intergovernmental cooperation set up for this purpose;

(iii) measures designed to inform victims and potential victims of trafficking of measures under (i) and (ii), with due regard to any barriers of language and circumstances of physical confinement of victims;

(b) measures designed to strengthen the active investigation of organized crime with regard to trafficking in persons, the exploitation of the prostitution of others, and the running of sweatshops, including:

(i) the provision of adequate material and human resources to law enforcement agencies;

(ii) the specific training of law enforcement officers, including those working in immigration control, labour inspection and vice squads, to address the problems of trafficking in persons in a manner conducive to the arrest of the exploiters rather than of the victims;

(iii) international cooperation between law enforcement agencies with a view to preventing and combating the trafficking in persons;

(c) cooperation with employers' and workers' organizations as well as non-governmental organizations engaged in the protection of human rights and the fight against the trafficking in persons, with regard to matters considered under (2)(a) and (b)(ii);

(3) any difficulties encountered by the authorities in seeking to prevent or suppress the exaction of forced labour to which legal and illegal migrants may be subjected in practice, and measures taken or contemplated to overcome these difficulties.

Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations, Report of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations, Observation, 2003,74th Session

1. The Committee notes that at its 288th Session (November 2003), the Governing Body of the ILO approved the report of the tripartite committee set up to examine the representation alleging non-observance by China of Convention No. 97 with respect to the Special Administrative Region (SAR) of Hong Kong, made under article 24 of the ILO Constitution by the Trade Union Congress of the Philippines (TUCP). The complaint concerned allegations that the Hong Kong administration approved certain measures that were harmful for Filipino workers and in violation of Article 6 of the Convention which provides for equality of treatment between migrant workers and nationals as regards remuneration, social security, employment taxes and access to legal proceedings. The specific measures included: (a) the reduction of the Minimum Allowance Wage (MAW) of foreign domestic workers by HK\$400, effective April 2003; (b) the introduction of an employees' retraining levy by HK\$400 imposed on employers of these workers, effective 1 October 2003; and (c) the possible exclusion of foreign domestic workers, who have not resided in Hong Kong SAR for at least seven years, from subsidized public health care services (see GB.288/17/2). The Committee also notes the joint communication by the Indonesian Migrant Workers Union (IMWU), and the Asian Domestic Workers Union (ADWU) dated 15 January 2003, concerning the application of the Convention in Hong Kong SAR, which was sent to the Government of China on 27 February 2003 for its comments thereon, and which it will address in points 5 and 6 below.

2. The Committee notes that the Governing Body concluded that with regard to the proposed measure to exclude in future foreign domestic helpers, who had not resided for at least seven years in Hong Kong SAR, from public health care services, the residence requirement of seven years would be too long and the automatic exclusion of these workers from all public health care benefits would contravene Article 6(1)(b) of the Convention. It urged the Government not to take this particular measure and to take all necessary steps to ensure that the social security provisions of the standard employment contract are strictly enforced.































